## **KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP**

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

## WASHINGTON HARBOUR, SUITE 400 3050 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007

(202) 342-8400

FACSIMILE
(202) 342-8451
www.kelleydrye.com

WILLIAM M. GUERRY

JONATHAN K. COOPERMAN

DIRECT LINE:(202) 342-8858

EMAIL:wguerry@kelleydrye.com

AFFILIATE OFFICE MUMBAI, INDIA

AUSTIN,TX

 $\mathsf{C}\,\,\mathsf{H}\,\mathsf{I}\,\,\mathsf{C}\,\,\mathsf{A}\,\,\mathsf{G}\,\,\mathsf{O}\,\,,\,\mathsf{I}\,\,\mathsf{L}$ 

HOUSTON.TX

LOS ANGELES, CA

PARSIPPANY, NJ

STAMFORD, CT

BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

January 18, 2017

Patricia Gillespie Miller, Esq. Office of Regional Counsel US EPA, Region VII 11201 Renner Blvd. Lenexa, KS 66219 Miller.Patricia@EPA.gov

Anne R. Rauch, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel
US EPA, Region VII
901 North 5th St.
Kansas City, KS 66101
Rauch.Anne@EPA.gov

## Dear Pat and Anne,

On behalf of Big Ox, thank you for your e-mail response on January 10<sup>th</sup> to our response on the Clean Water Act ("CWA") information request, as well as your letter on January 13<sup>th</sup> in response to our response on the Clean Air Act ("CAA") information request. Our objective is to reach a mutual understanding, working with you on all of the procedural and factual considerations in a constructive and efficient dialogue. To that end, we appreciate our conference call with you on January 4<sup>th</sup>. On that call you indicated that EPA concurred with us providing an initial response to the CAA information request by January 10<sup>th</sup>, and was fine with providing more time for a fuller responses. We were therefore timely in our submittal of our initial response to the CWA request on January 6<sup>th</sup> and the CAA request on January 10<sup>th</sup>.

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

Patricia Miller Anne Rauch

January 18, 2017 Page Two

As we discussed and agreed to on our call, Big Ox will provide several ongoing responses to the

two information requests on a rolling basis as soon as relevant and appropriate responses become

available through our ongoing document searches. Pursuant to our prior discussions, we plan to

fully comply with both requests by the January 27<sup>th</sup> deadline, specified in your letter on January

13<sup>th</sup>. We will also try to provide you with some interim documents prior to January 27<sup>th</sup>.

Big Ox will provide the available records it is required to keep and the records it deems are

reasonable for EPA to request, pursuant to EPA's statutory authority. We have carefully read your

January 13th letter and continue to believe that the more than 20 questions incorrectly assume that

the Big Ox facility exceeds the regulatory thresholds for hydrogen sulfide and other pollutants of

the Risk Management Program ("RMP") established under Section 112(r). Big Ox's preliminary

calculations estimate that the amounts of methane and hydrogen sulfide present at the facility are

substantially below the RMP threshold of 10,000 pounds for both hydrogen sulfide and for

methane. We will provide you on or before January 27<sup>th</sup> with corresponding documentation.

\* \* \*

We are continuing to diligently search for responsive information and will provide documents and

data to EPA in accordance with the timeframe outlined above. We plan on certifying our answers

to the EPA information requests once we understand what information EPA needs and is entitled

to, and once our responses are complete. Please let us know if you have any questions. On behalf

of Big Ox we look forward to working with you to address all EPA's issues and questions.

Best regards,

William M. Guerry

Jonathan K. Cooperman

William M. Surry